

ASIAN JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL
AND BIOLOGICAL RESEARCH

AJPBR



Indexed by:



Universal
Impact Factor



IMPACT FACTOR
SEARCH

Editorial board

Dr. Madhu Bala Scientist 'F' and Joint Director, Institute of Nuclear Medicine and Allied Sciences (INMAS), India

Dr. Sandip Narayan Chakraborty

Research Asst, Translational Molecular Pathology, Ut Md Anderson Cancer Center, Life Sciences Plaza, Houston, TX 77030

Dr. Tushar Treembak Shelke

Head of Department of Pharmacology and Research Scholar, In Jspms Charak College of Pharmacy & Research, Pune, India

Dr. Subas Chandra Dinda

Professor-cum-Director: School of Pharmaceutical Education & Research (SPER), Berhampur University, Berhampur, Orissa, India.

Dr. Jagdale Swati Changdeo

Professor and Head, Department of Pharmaceutics, MAEER's Maharashtra Institute of Pharmacy, S.No.124, MIT Campus, Kothrud, Pune-411038

Dr. Biplab Kumar Dey

Principal, Department of Pharmacy, Assam downtown University, Sankar Madhab Path, Panikhaiti 781026, Guwahati, Assam, India

Dr. Yogesh Pandurang Talekar

Research Associate, National Toxicology Centre

Dr. Indranil Chanda

Assistant Professor, Girijananda Chowdhury Institute of Pharmaceutical Science, Hathkhowapara, Azara Guwahati-17, Assam, India.

Dr. Sudip Kumar Mandal Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Dr. B. C. Roy College of Pharmacy & AHS, Bidhannagar, Durgapur-713206, India.

Sodikova Dilrabokhon Andijan state medical institute

Dr., associate professor Kuryazova Sharofat Tashkent Pediatric medical institute

Dr., Abdurakhmanova Nigora Nazimovna Tashkent Pediatric Medical Institute

Abdullaeva Umida Bukhara state medical institute

Dr. Neeraj Upmanyu

Prof., Peoples Institute of Pharmacy & Research Center, Bhopal, MP, India.

Dr. Mirrakhimova Maktuba Khabibullaevna Tashkent medical academy Uzbekistan

Dr. Nishanova Aziza Abdurashidovna, Tashkent State Dental Institute

Dr. Sadikova Minurakhon Adkhamovna Andijan State Medical Institute

Kurbanova Sanobar Yuldashevna Tashkent State Dental Institute

Zokirova Nargiza Bahodirovna Tashkent Pediatric medical institute

Khabilov Behzod Nigmon ugli Tashkent State Dental Institute

Dr. Domenico De Berardis Department of Mental Health, Azienda Sanitaria Locale Teramo, 64100 Teramo, Italy

Dr. Azizova Rano Baxodirovna associate professor of the Department of neurology of the Tashkent Medical Academy

Dr. Ishankhodjaeva Gulchekhra Tashkent Medical Academy

Institute of Nuclear Medicine and Allied Sciences (INMAS), India

Brig SK Mazumdar Marg, Timarpur, New Delhi, Delhi 110054 India

SIGNIFICANCE OF STANDARD CYTOGENETIC ANALYSIS IN THE DIAGNOSIS OF ACUTE LEUKEMIA

Egamova Sitora Kobilovna

Bukhara State Medical Institute. Bukhara, Uzbekistan

Resume. A study of significant genetic aberrations in a group of oncohematological patients in the city of Tashkent (Uzbekistan) was carried out using a standard cytogenetic study and an assessment of their role in the prognosis of the disease. The data obtained were compared with the effectiveness of polychemotherapy and prognostic groups were formed. In the group of poor prognosis, multiple genetic aberrations were more common, in contrast to the group of patients with a favorable prognosis of the disease, who predominantly had single genetic aberrations. A standard cytogenetic study allows you to simultaneously identify a wide range of genetic abnormalities to determine the prognosis patients with acute leukemia.

Keywords: acute leukemia, chromosomal translocations, prognosis, cytogenetics

The incidence of hemoblastoses averages 10 per 100 thousand of the population per year and occupies the 6th–8th place among neoplasms, accounting for 6–7% of them [5,6]. According to the Republican Specialized Scientific and Practical Medical Center for Hematology of the Republic of Uzbekistan, in 2021, in the overall structure of the incidence of malignant neoplasms in Uzbekistan, hemoblastoses accounted for 3.2% [2,13]. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), diseases of the hematopoietic and lymphoid tissues account for about 1% of all causes of death in the population. They account for 6 to 10% of all deaths from malignant neoplasms, and among patients under the age of 30 years - 50% [2,13]. Standard cytogenetic research (SCR) is now increasingly used in various fields of practical medicine. So, in oncohematology, this method allows one-stage detection of a wide range of clinically significant genetic anomalies to determine the prognosis and treatment tactics for patients with hematological malignancies [7,8]. The most well-known and widespread method of cytogenetic research is G-differential staining of chromosomes, which makes it possible to fully describe the karyotype and detect marker and variant rearrangements of the genome.

Purpose of the study: Using a standard cytogenetic study, determine chromosomal abnormalities in patients with acute leukemia and evaluate their role in the prognosis of the disease.

Material and methods. The study included 88 patients with acute leukemia who underwent diagnostic examination and received treatment at the Republican Specialized Scientific and Practical Medical Center for Hematology of the Republic

of Uzbekistan. Verification of the diagnosis is based on: complaints made by patients, anamnesis data, physical and instrumental examinations, as well as clinical and laboratory tests. Of them: 50 men and 38 women. Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) was verified in 46 patients, acute lymphoblastic leukemia in 42. The age of the patients ranged from 18 to 68 years (median 42.2 ± 2.1 years), median of age in AML - 42.3, with ALL - 32.1 years. In this study, we formed prognosis groups based on independent prognostic factors [10]. The object of the study was the conclusions with the result of a standard cytogenetic study (GTG-banding) performed in patients with hemoblastoses. Bone marrow aspirate (sternal puncture) of patients, taken into sterile tubes with heparin, was used as a biological material for SIC. Chromosomes were analyzed using stored digital images. The number of chromosomes in each metaphase plate was counted, the structure of metaphase chromosomes was analyzed by comparing homologues, assessing the relative sizes of chromosomes within each metaphase plate, the sizes of the short (p) and long (q) arms of each chromosome, centromere location and correspondence of G-positive bands in homologous chromosomes. Chromosomes were identified according to the ISCN classification system, and in the absence of GTG banding, according to the Denver classification. For each patient, 20 metaphases were analyzed.

Result and discussion. During the study, 62 (70.5%) of 88 patients had a normal karyotype (among them AML-35, ALL-27) and 26 (29.5%) patients had cytogenetic aberrations (in 15 patients with ALL, in 11 - AML).

Table number 1.

Proportion and spectrum of structural changes in karyotype in patients with AL

Chromosomal disorder	AL			
	AML n=46		ALL n=42	
	n	%	n	%
Total	6	13.0	eleven	26.1
t(15,17)(q22;q21)	3	6.5	-	-
t(9,22)(q34;q11.2)	-	-	3	7.1
t(8,21)(q22;q22)	1	2.1	-	-
der(?)	2	4.3	2	4.7
del 8q?	-	-	1	2.3
t(1,7)(q41;p22)	-	-	1	2.3
t(10,19)(q22;q13.3)	-	-	1	2.3

The criteria for evaluating the prognosis were the speed of onset of clinical and hematological remission, the presence or absence of cytogenetic remission, the presence of relapse, and the duration of the overall survival of patients. The prognosis was considered favorable in the case of a rapid onset of clinical and hematological remission during the first courses of chemotherapy, the presence of cytogenetic remission and patient survival for more than 3 years, and the absence of relapse. The prognosis was regarded as unfavorable in case of death within a year or a rapid onset of relapse, extremely unfavorable in case of death before the start of treatment or during the first course of therapy. The intermediate prognosis corresponds to survival within 1-3 years, the presence of clinical and hematological remission in the absence of a pronounced cytogenetic response.

Table number 2.

Proportion and spectrum of quantitative changes in karyotype in patients with AL

Chromosomal disorder	AML n=46		ALL n=42	
	n	%	n	%
TsGNK	31	67.3	22	52.3
hypodiploidy (2n>46)	3	6.5	2	4.7
Hyperdiploidy (2n<46)	1	2.7	2	4.7
Total	4		3	

The group of AML patients with a favorable prognosis includes 3 balanced chromosomal anomalies: translocation t(15;17) (q22;q21), characteristic of acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL) (chimeric oncogene PML-RARA), as well as translocation t(8;21)(q22;q22)/RUNX1-RUNX1T1 and inv(16) (p13.1;q22)/CBFB-MYH11 inversion, which are characteristic of the so-called CBF-leukemias (core binding factor leukemias) [6]. In our case, t(15,17) (q22; q21) type translocation was observed in 3 patients and t(8,21) (q22; q22) in one patient with AML. In this group, translocations t(8;21), t(15;17), as well as inversion ipv(16), which corresponds to the literature data [12].

Table number 3.

Detectable chromosomal translocations and their prognostic significance

Chromosomal translocations	Genetic aberrations	Prognosis for AL	Existing approaches in treatment
t(9;22),	p190 and p210	Adverse	Specific therapy with

Philadelphia chromosome	BCR/ABL		tyrosine kinase inhibitors
t(8;21)	AML/ETO	Favorable	Program polychemotherapy
t(15;17)	PML/RARa	Favorable	Full treatment transretinoic acid (ATRA)
t(1;7)	E2A/PBX	Intermediate	Possible intensification of courses polychemotherapy or allogeneic bone marrow transplantation.
t(10,19)	CBFB/MYH11	Adverse	Possible intensification of courses polychemotherapy or allogeneic bone marrow transplantation.

In most cases of monosomy (with the exception of monosomy Y), an unfavorable prognosis was noted, as was the case with karyotype ploidy disorders, while trisomy (+13, +21) were characterized by an intermediate prognosis. Quantitative changes in the karyotype in our observations, as mentioned above, were found in 9 (10.2%) cases. At the same time, 4 (4.5%) of them were patients with AML and 5 (5.7%) - with ALL. According to the literature, in AML from this risk group, the frequency of complete remissions is also 90%, and the 5-year overall survival is 55–85% [7, 8]. In the group of acute lymphoblastic leukemias, a favorable prognosis was characteristic of cases of a hyperdiploid set of chromosomes. Acute lymphoblastic leukemias developed in an extremely unfavorable way with the presence of translocation of the type t(9.22) (q34; q11.2), t(1.7) (q41; p22) and t(10.19) (q22; q13.3) was found only in patients with ALL. This group had the highest recurrence rate. Monosomic karyotype is of great importance in AML as a factor of unfavorable prognosis.

Conclusions. In patients with acute leukemia with an unfavorable course of the disease and refractoriness to ongoing therapy, multiple (2 or more) genetic aberrations occur with the most frequent profile of abnormal genes: MLL, AML / ETO, BCR / ABL and MLL, AML/ETO and TEL/AML, in contrast to patients in the group with a favorable prognosis of the disease, who had only single genetic aberrations. In international practice, risk groups for patients with acute leukemia are currently formed on the basis of detected cytogenetic and molecular genetic disorders (recommendations of the American Society of Clinical Oncologists and the European Cooperative Group Leukemia Net, IEP (International Expert Panel)). The use of a standard cytogenetic study makes it possible to simultaneously detect a wide range of clinically significant genetic anomalies, including complex karyotype disorders, to determine the prognosis and treatment tactics for patients with acute leukemia.

REFERENCES.

1. Bacher U, Haferlach C, Kern W, Haferlach T, Schnittger S. Prognostic relevance of FLT3-TKD mutations in AML: the combination matters — an analysis of 3082 patients. *Blood* 2008;111: 2527-37.
2. Delaunay J, Vey N, Leblanc T, et al. Prognosis of inv(16)/t(16;16) acute myeloid leukemia (AML): a survey of 110 cases from the French AML Intergroup. *Blood* 2003;102:462-9.
3. Egamova SK Cytogenetics in acute leukemia. *new day in medicine*, ISSN-2181-712X No. 6 (38), 2021, R.244-249.
4. Egamova SK Algorithm for the diagnosis of acute leukemia. *British medical journal*, No. 2, 2021, P.160-174.
5. Egamova SK. Prognostic significance of genetic mutations in patients with acute leukemia. *Neuroquantology*, Vol 20, 2022, P. 1093-1097.
6. Egamova SK, Boboev KT A case of acute lymphoblastic leukemia with translocation t(1;7)(q41;p22), t(4;12)(q34;q23), +mar . *british medical journal*. London, 2022.-№1. R.273-277.
7. Egamova SK Efficacy of midostaurine in acute myeloid leukemia with FLT-3 mutation. *International journal of innovations in engineering research and technology*. India.-2022. P. 9-11.
8. Egamova SK Genetic features of acute myeloid leukemia with t(8;21) in adults. *International Conference on Developments in Education*. Amsterdam.- 2022. P.1-4.
9. Egamova SK Prognostic significance of tp53 gene mutations with acute leukemia. *Spectrum Journal of Innovation, Reforms and Development*. India.-2022. P.48-50
10. Grimwade D, Walker H, Oliver F, et al. The importance of diagnostic cytogenetics on outcome in AML: analysis of 1,612 patients entered into the MRC AML10 trial. *Blood* 1998;92:2322-33.
11. Kottaridis PD, Gale RE, Frew ME, et al. The presence of a FLT3 internal tandem duplication in patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML) adds important prognostic information to the cytogenetic risk group and response to the first cycle of chemotherapy: analysis of 854 patients from the United Kingdom Medical Research Council AML 10 and 12 trials. *Blood* 2001;98:1752-9.
12. Lowenberg B, Griffin JD, Tallman MS. Acute myeloid leukemia and acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program* 2003:82-101.
13. Mrózek K, Dohner H, Bloomfield CD. Influence of new molecular prognostic markers in patients with karyotypically normal acute myeloid leukemia: recent advances. *Curr Opin Hematol* 2007;14:106-14.
14. Mead AJ, Linch DC, Hills RK, Wheatley K, Burnett AK, Gale RE. FLT3 tyrosine kinase domain mutations are biologically distinct from and have a

significantly more favorable prognosis than FLT3 internal tandem duplications in patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood* 2007;110: 1262-70.

15. Marcucci G, Radmacher MD, Maharry K, et al. MicroRNA expression in cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia. *N Engl J Med* 2008;358:1919-28.

16. Yamamoto Y, Kiyoi H, Nakano Y, et al. Activating mutation of D835 within the activation loop of FLT3 in human hematologic malignancies. *Blood* 2001;97:2434-2446.